Minutes
Florida Center for Library Automation Board
Friday June 3, 2005 – 9 am to noon
Florida State University, College of Medicine
Conference Room 150

Members present: Jim Corey, Grady Morein, Sherry Carrillo (representing Larry Miller), John Ingram (representing Dale Canelas), Lauren Sapp, Kathy Cohen, Althea Jenkins, Kathy Hoeth, and Bill Miller, Derrie Perez, Barry Baker and Richard Madaus.

Absent: Joan Pelland

1. Agreement on Agenda. Approved.

2. Approval of Minutes of June 3, 2005. Minutes were approved.

3. Schedule Next Meetings

   September 2, 2005 in Tallahassee at FAMU
   December 2, 2005 in Sarasota at New College – Joint meeting with CCLA – CSUL to arrange
   March 10, 2006 in Jacksonville at UNF
   June 9, 2006 in Gainesville at UF
   September 8, 2006 in Boca Raton at FAU
   December 8, 2006 TBA by CCLA

4. Report of the FCLA Director

   The ALEPH report section of the report was discussed in some detail. FCLA is not impressed with the ARC (ALEPH Reporting Center). The ETL (extract, transform and load) is very slow. There are problems with the basic design. FCLA is building a reporting system as ARC doesn’t meet FCLA needs.

   In answer to a question regarding payments to ExLibris, Jim stated that there are several features not active now and ExLibris has not been paid. Direct Consortia borrowing is one item that needs additional discussion. This should be an agenda item for the September meeting.

   One half of the Phase II’s are now up. FAMU has a few bugs to be worked out, and FSU reported problems with holds and recalls which has been resolved.

5. Report of the CCLA Director
Richard Madaus reported on the CCLA legislative budget request. This year was the fourth year with no funding increase for databases. CCLA continues planning for the development and testing of ALEPH 17. CCLA is also offering regional public services workshops in June on LINCC enhancements.

(Student methods of getting information vs librarians wanting to “force” students to use “their” methods, etc was discussed. It was suggested that this be a topic for the next CSUL meeting.)

6. Report of the State Librarian

   No report.

7. Discussion with Tim Cherubini from SOLINET regarding Electronic Resources License Negotiations

   This was a preliminary discussion to explore the possibilities and specific costs were not identified as it is too early. SOLINET is a partner to various segments of the membership and also works with the vendor community in an advisory role. SOLINET currently has 6 positions involved with exploration of products some of which lead to negotiations. All types of products are represented. (About 35 - 40 vendors, and 100 to 200 products).

   There are several types of relationships:
   - Start to finish - publicity to orders w/ vendor
   - Marketing only
   - Stay in touch w/ vendors products only

   SOLINET’s interest is to foster cooperation in region, leverage access & cost with vendors and leverage knowledge of libraries in region. SOLINET has to consider cost recovery. What will revenue generating possibilities be from this project? There are similar projects. Public libraries in Virginia, ASERL (exploring possibilities) and the Tennessee Board of Regents institutions. SOLINET and a group of libraries would work better (more clout) than just a group of libraries.

   There are many options as to the possible services offered. An MOU could be developed between SOLINET and CSUL. SOLINET could be involved after the license agreement was signed if that was desired.
Some sort of continued “vendor management” may be wanted. Could start with 6 to 10 contracts with vendors.

It is important to keep strongest features of current process and move forward to enhance the process as needed.

Richard Madaus stated the community colleges may want to be included at a lower cost; similar to the OhioLink model.

Next steps:
- Involve ERS in discussion
- Tim will consider today’s discussion from SOLINET’s perspective
- Should general counsel offices be involved? Probably not if billing is directly from SOLINET.
- Tim will send out an “A to Z” list to answer questions as to how the process could work
- Discuss again at September meeting
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